
UNIT GUIDE

Chemical Reactions



Amplify.



Table of contents

| Welcome to Chemical Reactions | 4 |
|---|----|
| Chapter 1: The storyline begins | 6 |
| Chapter 2: The storyline builds | 8 |
| Chapter 3: The storyline goes deeper | 10 |
| Chapter 4: Application to a new storyline | 12 |
| All students. All standards. | 14 |
| 3-D Statements | 16 |



Welcome to Chemical Reactions

Many curricula present chemical reactions as abstract phenomena that occur only in the context of a laboratory and always involve unfamiliar chemicals. When chemistry content is presented in this manner, students learn to discuss the reactants and products of a chemical reaction without ever considering how these ideas explain the chemical reactions happening all around them. In contrast, Amplify Science emphasizes realistic and relatable real-world problems in order to encourage students to see chemical reactions as the ubiquitous phenomena that they are.

Unlike a typical curriculum, Amplify Science anchors learning by inviting students to take on the role of scientists and engineers.

In this unit, students take on the role of forensic chemists. Their job is to investigate a mysterious reddish-brown substance coming out of the water pipes in Westfield, a rural neighborhood that gets its water from a well. Working together, they determine that the reddish-brown substance is rust, and could have formed only from a chemical reaction between the iron pipes and the fertilizer. The unit concludes with a Science Seminar, in which students use what they have learned about substances, atoms, and chemical reactions to assist in a police investigation of a robbery that involved the use of an unknown substance to steal a rare and expensive diamond.

Unit Type: Core

Student Role: Forensic Chemists

Phenomenon: A mysterious brown substance has been detected in the tap water of Westfield.

Core Concepts: Understanding the relationship among properties, groups of repeating atoms, and how substances are formed during chemical reactions

Target Performance Expectations:

- PS1-1: Atomic Theory/Molecules
- PS1-2: Chemical Reactions
- PS1-3: Synthetic Materials
- PS1-5: Atoms Conserved
- PS1-6: Thermal Energy and Chemical Processes

Related Performance Expectations:

- LS1-6: Photosynthesis
- · LS1-7: Cellular Respiration
- ESS3-1: Distribution of Natural Resources
- ESS3-3: Designs to Minimize Impact

Students figure out the unit phenomenon through the use of a variety of resources.

Student Investigation Notebook




Hands-On Kit



Videos



Digital Tools



About technology in this unit:

All Amplify Science lessons were designed with device sharing in mind, and never assume that every student has a separate device.

In grade 7, student-facing technology includes Practice Tools and digital Simulations. When the use of a digital tool is called for in a lesson, teachers have several implementation options:

If limited student devices are available—teachers can have students do activities in pairs or small groups.

If no student devices are available—teachers can project the digital tool to the class and either "drive" the digital tool themself or invite students to "drive" by using their device.

If internet access is unavailable—teachers can "pre-load" the digital tool on their device for use offline.

Chapter 1: The storyline begins

What students investigate:

What is the reddish-brown substance in the water?

What students figure out:

The reddish-brown substance is different from the pipe substance (Fe) and from the contaminant of the water supply (NaNO $_3$). Evidence for this is that each of their properties (color and texture) is so different. In addition, the groups of atoms that make them up are different. The pipe substance is made of Fe; the contaminant is made of NaNO $_3$; and the reddish-brown substance is made of Fe $_2$ O $_3$.

How they figure it out:

- Making careful observations of various substances
- Reading an article about why different substances have different properties
- Gathering evidence in the Sim about the atoms of substances found in the Westfield water

KEY CLASS HANDS-ON HOMEWORK MODELING READING SIM SORTING STUDENT-TO-STUDENT TEACHER TEACHER-LED DISCUSSION WARM-UP WRITING

DAY 1 | LESSON 1.1

Pre-Unit Assessment

- Multiple-Choice Questions (25 min)
- Written-Response Question #1 (10 min)
- Written-Response Question #2 (10 min)

Pre-Unit Assessment

DAY 2 | LESSON 1.2

A Water Mystery in Westfield

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Video: Using Chemistry to Keep Water Safe (5 min)
- Investigating a Mysterious Substance (15 min)
- Observing Substances (20 min)

DAY 3 | LESSON 1.3

Analyzing Substances and Properties

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Making Detailed Observations (5 min)
- Investigating Substances (20 min)
- **Section** Evaluating Evidence (15 min)
- **Homework**

Optional Flextension: *Identifying* Substances

On-the-Fly Assessment

DAY 4 | LESSON 1.4

"Atomic Zoom-In"

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Playing Everything Is Made of Atoms (10 min)
- Reading "Atomic Zoom-In" (25 min)
- Discussing Annotations (10 min)
- ♠ Homework

On-the-Fly Assessment

DAY 5 | LESSON 1.5

Investigating Atoms and Properties

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Investigating Substances in the Sim (15 min)
- Rereading "Atomic Zoom-In" (20 min)
- Explaining the Homework Assignment (5 min)
- **f** Homework
- Family Homework Experience (Optional)

DAY 6 | LESSON 1.6

Identifying the Reddish-Brown Substance

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Word Relationships (15 min)
- Identifying the Reddish-Brown Substance (25 min)
- **†** Homework
- ♠ Self-Assessment (Optional)

Self-Assessment

Unit Guide: Chemical Reactions | 7

Chapter 2: The storyline builds

What students investigate:


How did the rust form?

What students figure out:

A chemical reaction occurred between the iron on the inside of the pipes and the sodium nitrate that was mixed in with the water flowing through the pipes. During this reaction, atoms from the pipes and sodium nitrate rearranged to form new groups of atoms, resulting in the new reddish-brown substance. It has iron atoms just like the pipes, and oxygen atoms just like the contaminant, but the properties of the reddish-brown substance are different from both because the way that the atoms are grouped is different. This is true even though the iron and sodium nitrate were the substances that combined to produce the reddish-brown substance.

How they figure it out:

- Conducting chemical reactions and observing reactants and products both in hands-on tests and using the Sim
- Gathering evidence from a token-based physical model of a chemical reaction
- Reading an article about how synthetic materials developed by scientists and engineers are often designed at the atomic scale to mimic substances discovered in nature



DAY 7 | LESSON 2.1

Investigating Substance Changes

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Investigating Substance Changes (20 min)
- Mixing Substances in the Sim (15 min)
- Reflecting on the Investigation Question (5 min)
- **f** Homework

On-the-Fly Assessment

DAY 8 | LESSON 2.2

Explaining Chemical Reactions

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Finding Chemical Reactions (20 min)
- Explaining Chemical Reactions (15 min)
- **†** Homework

On-the-Fly Assessment

DAY 9 | LESSON 2.3

Explaining How the Rust Formed

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Testing the Claims (15 min)
- Modeling How the Rust Formed (15 min)
- Writing to Westfield (10 min)
- ♠ Homework

On-the-Fly Assessment
Optional Flextension:
Investigating Mixtures

DAY 10 | LESSON 2.4

Critical Juncture Assessment

- Multiple-Choice Questions (25 min)
- Written-Response Question #1 (10 min)
- Written-Response Question #2 (10 min)

Critical Juncture Assessment

DAY 11 | LESSON 2.5

Reflecting on Chemical Reactions

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Playing Sodium and Hydrogen Chloride (10 min)
- Analyzing the Results of the Experiment (25 min)
- Sharing Ideas (5 min)
- **f** Homework
- **Self-Assessment (Optional)

Self-Assessment

Chapter 3: The storyline goes deeper

What students investigate:


What was produced during the reaction between the iron pipes and the fertilizer?

What students figure out:

The reddish-brown substance (Fe_2O_3) is in the water because it was formed in the reaction, but it can be filtered out. The substance $NaNO_3$ was used up in the reaction, but its atoms couldn't have been destroyed. So, another substance ($NaNO_2$) must be left behind. The NaCN can't be in the water because there were no carbon atoms in the water or the pipes, and atoms can't change types during chemical reactions.

How they figure it out:

- Reading an article about what happens to atoms during a chemical reaction
- Gathering related evidence by analyzing reactions in the Sim
- · Exploring the token physical model further
- · Analyzing evidence from Westfield
- · Creating a visual model



DAY 12 | LESSON 3.1

"What Happens When Fuels Burn?"

- Warm-Up (10 min)
- Introducing Questions and Claims (5 min)
- Playing Burning Paper (5 min)
- Reading "What Happens When Fuels Burn?" (20 min)
- Discussing Annotations (10 min)

On-the-Fly Assessment

DAY 13 | LESSON 3.2

Burning at the Atomic Scale

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Burning Fuel in the Sim (20 min)
- Rereading "What Happens When Fuels Burn?" (15 min)
- Sharing Evidence and Discussing Claims (5 min)
- ♠ Homework

On-the-Fly Assessment

DAY 14 | LESSON 3.3

Investigating How Products Form

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Investigating Jessie's Claim (20 min)
- Word Relationships (20 min)
- **A** Homework

On-the-Fly Assessment

DAY 15 | LESSON 3.4

What's in Westfield's Water?

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Identifying the Other Product (15 min)
- Modeling the Products of the Reaction (10 min)
- Writing to Westfield (10 min)
- **H**omework
- ♠ Self-Assessment (Optional)

On-the-Fly Assessment Self-Assessment

Chapter 4: Application to a new storyline

What students investigate:


The Lavoisier Diamond has been stolen from Westfield Museum, but by whom? More importantly, what was the unknown substance discovered at the crime scene that was used to make a hole in the glass protecting the jewel?

What students figure out:

Scientists must communicate how their claims and evidence are supported with reasoning in a convincing scientific argument. In order to convince its reader, a written scientific argument needs to state a claim, describe specific evidence, and explain how the evidence supports the claim. A claim can sometimes be supported more effectively if you consider the combination of several different pieces of evidence.

How they figure it out:

- Reviewing available evidence to make an argument
- Engaging in oral argumentation in a student-led discourse routine called a Science Seminar
- Writing final arguments



DAY 16 | LESSON 4.1

Chemistry at the Crime Scene

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Identifying an Unknown Substance (25 min)
- Discussing New Evidence (15 min)

DAY 17 | LESSON 4.2

Analyzing Claims and Evidence

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Making Possible Reactions (20 min)
- Analyzing New Evidence (15 min)
- Identifying the Primary Suspect (5 min)

On-the-Fly Assessment

DAY 18 | LESSON 4.3

Science Seminar

- Warm-Up (5 min)
- Preparing for the Science Seminar (10 min)
- Introducing the Science Seminar (5 min)
- Participating in the Science Seminar (20 min)
- Introducing the Homework Assignment (20 min)
- **†** Homework
- ♠ Self-Assessment (Optional)

On-the-Fly Assessment
Self-Assessment

DAY 19 | LESSON 4.4

End-of-Unit Assessment

- Multiple-Choice Questions (25 min)
- Written-Response Question #1 (10 min)
- Written-Response Question #2 (10 min)

End-of-Unit Assessment

All students. All standards.

Rather than treating the standards simply as a list of topics to cover, we designed Amplify Science to allow for truly in-depth and integrated coverage of the disciplinary core ideas (DCIs), science and engineering practices (SEPs), and crosscutting concepts (CCCs). Unlike other programs, however, ours makes the NGSS' vision of "all students, all standards" a reality by creating a unit-specific learning progression for every unit called a Progress Build.

Each Progress Build defines several levels of understanding of the unit's anchoring phenomenon, with each level integrating and building upon the knowledge and skills from lower levels. In this way, each Progress Build provides a clear roadmap for how students' understanding of the phenomenon is expected to deepen and develop with each successive chapter and lesson.

What's more, the program's system of assessments is also tied to these Progress Builds. This carefully crafted integration provides teachers with credible, actionable, and timely diagnostic information about student progress toward the unit's learning goals and grade-level performance expectations. Armed with this powerful data, teachers have the ultimate flexibility to decide when to move on and when to slow down and dive deeper.

Chemical Reactions Progress Build

The Progress Build in this unit consists of three levels of understanding. At each level, students add new ideas and integrate them into a progressively deeper understanding of the relationship among properties, groups of repeating atoms, and the way substances are formed during chemical reactions.

Progress Build Level 1:

Different substances have different properties. This is because every substance is made of a unique group of a certain type and number of atoms. This group repeats to make up the substance.

Progress Build Level 2:

During chemical reactions, atoms that make up the starting substance(s) rearrange to form different groups of atoms that repeat, resulting in different substances(s).

Progress Build Level 3:

During chemical reactions, the ending substances are formed from the same type and number of atoms that made up the starting substances because atoms cannot be created or destroyed.

Examples of differentiation in this unit

In addition to providing unit-specific Progress Builds that break learning goals into smaller, more achievable levels of understanding, Amplify Science makes learning accessible for all students through a variety of scaffolds, supports, and differentiation strategies for every lesson. For a complete list of strategies, see the Differentiation section of every Lesson Brief.

Below are a few examples of strategies embedded in this unit.

For English learners:

Additional sentence starters (Example from Lesson 2.2)

English learners may benefit from the support of sentence starters in order to participate more fully in the partner discussions. You could write the following prompts on the board or distribute them on paper to students you think would benefit from having them.

- I notice ...
- I observe ...
- I think that this is _____, and my evidence is _____

For students needing more support:

Whole-class work instead of partner work (Example from Lesson 1.3)

You may want to support students in learning to make observations by doing at least one part of the lesson as a whole group. For example, you could do the first hands-on activity together and then have students do the Sorting Tool activity individually or with a partner.

For students ready for a challenge:

Considering and addressing refutational evidence (Example from Lesson 3.4)

Sophisticated argumentation includes not only supporting a claim with the strongest, most convincing evidence available, but also includes explaining why some evidence eliminates other possible claims. It can be quite challenging to consider both supports and refutation at the same time, but if some or all of your students are ready for the challenge, you may want to ask them to write about why the water did not contain potassium chromate. Ask students to cite key concepts from the unit as they write.

3-D Statements

In order to help teachers recognize the three-dimensional structure of every unit, chapter, and lesson, each unit contains a 3-D Statement document that makes the integration clear.

Making the 3-D statement document all the more effective, the three dimensions are color-coded for easy recognition.

Chemical Reactions 3-D Coverage

SFPs

Science and Engineering Practices

DCIs

Disciplinary Core Ideas

CCC

Cross-Cutting Concepts

Unit Level

To identify a mysterious reddish-brown substance appearing in the pipes of a fictional town, students use digital and physical models and hands-on observations to investigate how atoms are rearranged into different patterns to form new substances during chemical reactions (scale, proportion, and quantity; patterns). Students apply their understanding to construct explanations about how the reddish-brown substance formed as a result of a chemical reaction between the pipes and fertilizer in the water supply.

Chapter Level

Chapter 1: Properties and Atoms

Students begin to investigate a mysterious reddish-brown substance discovered coming out of the water pipes in a fictional community. They first observe substances at the macroscale and then use the digital model (a simulation) to observe substances at the atomic scale to figure out that observable differences between different substances are the result of differences at the atomic scale (scale, proportion, and quantity).

Chapter 2: Reactions

In order to construct explanations about how the rust in Westfield's water formed, students gather evidence from hands-on activities, a physical model, and the digital simulation to investigate whether or not it is possible for substances to change into different substances (scale proportion, and quantity; cause and effect). Then students construct visual models of how atoms are rearranged during a chemical reaction (patterns).


Chapter 3: Accounting for Atoms

Students investigate how atoms are neither created nor destroyed during a chemical reaction (scale proportion, and quantity; patterns). First they obtain information from an article and the digital model about what happens to fuels as they burn. Next, students construct visual models and write explanations about how the atoms in the pipes and in the fertilizer were rearranged into different patterns to form rust and another product.

Chapter 4: Science Seminar

Students analyze evidence and make oral and written arguments—using what they have figured out about substances at the macroscale and atomic scale and about how atoms rearrange during a chemical reaction (scale, proportion, and quantity; patterns)—to create models that distinguish between suspects who could and could not have made hydrofluoric acid.

To review the 3-D Statements at the lesson level, see the Lesson Brief section of every lesson.



| Votes | Notes |
|-------|-------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

For more information on Amplify Science, visit amplify.com/science.



